

FCTL Advisory Committee
Recommendations Subcommittee
Oct. 24, 2022

- I. The meeting was called to order at 9:01am
- II. SPI
 - a. Recap of summer conference session – Senate had advanced a plan in 2019. Chuck Dz. shared data of “straightlining answers” (Christmas-tree). We are the only SUS that continues to nudge students until they actually complete SPI. Survey was filled out in real time just after Faculty Senate panel session at winter conference. The full slide deck will be sent out to the committee after this meeting.
 - b. Reminder we should ensure future iterations are mobile-friendly (with SPI)
 - c. In addition to process (should we pilot not doing nudges one semester?), this group can also suggest revisions to the questions themselves
- III. Discussion of subcommittee priorities – which go first? HB7, Student Success (faculty role), and SPI
 - a. Student Success:
 - i. Problem statements: students take too many courses. Also that students come in with enough credits that it’s dangerous for their academic performances. Many of our students work full time (and school may not be the priority). Lingering covid flexibility expectations likely leading to some exploitation.
 - ii. Solutions:
 1. Students need to be convinced that online is not the same
 2. (more solutions needed!)
 - b. HB7 – Kevin will add a MS-Word version of the FAQs into one-drive so everyone can multi-edit
 - c. Consensus that we can tackle SPI and HB7 at the same time – likely leaving most of student success for spring. There is also a separate Faculty Senate committee on Student Success (not necessarily the faculty role)
- IV. Demo of new SPI Dashboard
 - a. Visitor: Lisa Sklar (IKM)
 - b. UF has made SPI data available to general public. Currently we use Olympus server for UCF-people only; idea is to show a new dashboard to general public.
 - c. PowerBI. Numbers only; comments not included. No exclusion of courses with just one reply.
 - d. Tool is done; unknown timetable to make public
 - e. Offers more data than ratemyprofessor or reddit – yet students might use what they know. Also: this data is also partly bad data: 66% of the responses are “straight line”.
 - f. Uncertain if students would use it – would faculty? Depends on how it’s advertised, how buried, etc. It would make it easier for faculty to compare their own results w/ their department, with all sections of that course, etc.
 - g. Some faculty concerned about validity of data – IKM would rather not release it if there is a perception that this is bad data
- V. Next Meeting: Kevin will send a doodle poll for November meeting
- VI. The meeting was adjourned at 10:24

Attended: Martha Hubertz (chair), Kevin Yee, Danny Seigler, Piotr Mikusinki, Sandra Sousa, Matthew Hall, Sue Bauer, Sean Mondesire, Lisa Wayte, Don Merritt, Jackie LaManna, Ray Eddy, Katy Miller, Larry Jaffe, Adam Meyer, Lisa Sklar (visitor)

Minutes recorded by Kevin Yee